

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/815,917	BRYDEN, RAYMOND H.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen J. Stein	1775	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Stephen J. Stein (Examiner).

(3) _____.

 (2) Jeffrey Abel (Applicant's Representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 November 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

50 and 65

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant's representative was called and informed that after allowance, it was discovered that pending claims 50 and 65 were dependent on cancelled claims. It was agreed to change the dependency of claims 50 and 65 by Examiner's Amendment to make them dependent on claims 48 and 63 respectively. Agreement to this amendment fixed the dependency problem so that the application could go to issue. .